## HRM 732 • Adaptive Designs for Clinical Trials (Online)

#### **Course Syllabus**

#### Spring/Summer, 2023

# 1. Brief Description

Randomized clinical trials are the gold standard for testing the effect of a novel intervention. Clinical trials can be expensive, time consuming, and can expose subjects to interventions that are potentially harmful and/or ineffective. Standard trial designs generally do not allow for modifications to key design components during the trial, but adaptive designs, on the other hand, allow for modifications to be made based on accumulative data or new knowledge that becomes available during the trial. With this adaptive learning nature, adaptive designs can improve the efficiency of the trial and reduce the risk of patients being exposed to harmful and/or ineffective interventions; however, they can be more challenging to design and execute. There are several operational and statistical challenges that must be addressed in order to preserve the integrity of the trial. In this distance education course, we will discuss the principles and characteristics of adaptive designs, the advantages and disadvantages of conducting an adaptive clinical trial compared to a standard, fixed sample design, and potential operational and statistical challenges in adaptive designs.

# 2. Prerequisites

- 1. Students must meet McMaster School of Graduate Studies admission criteria (http://academiccalendars.romcmaster.ca/content.php?catoid=4&navoid=191)
- 2. Students must meet at least one of the following criteria:
  - a. Have taken a HRM 702, HRM 721, and a graduate course in randomized clinical trials methods (HRM730 or 733) or equivalent 🔛
  - b. Have prior experience performing randomized clinical trials
  - c. Obtain permission from an instructor

# 3. Course Objectives

Students who successfully complete this course will:

- Have knowledge and skills to describe the principles, characteristics, advantages, and disadvantages of adaptive designs and master protocols
- Be able to compare and contrast adaptive trial designs to standard trial designs
- Be able to critically appraise clinical trials with adaptive trial designs and master protocols for their strengths and limitations

Students will also gain familiarity with:

- Current concepts and controversies in adaptive trial design and master protocol methods
- US and European Regulatory agencies' recommendations for planning and conducting adaptive trials and master protocols

# 4. Unit Outline

Unit	Торіс		
1	Course introduction and history of adaptive trial designs and master protocols		
2	Adaptive trial designs and master protocols: Characteristics, principles, and types		
3	Adaptive designs for dose finding and efficacy		
4	Case studies in seamless and sample-size re-assessment designs		
5	Clinical trial simulations, decision rules, and statistical analyses		
6	Precision oncology trials: Basket and umbrella trials		
7	A case study on a platform trial: STAMPEDE trial		
8	A case study on a platform trial: I-SPY2 trial		
9	Standards for adaptive designs and master protocols		
10	Practical considerations for funding and implementation of adaptive trial designs and master protocols		
11	Writing week & Open office hour		
12	Student presentations I		
13	Student presentations II		

# 5. Required Materials

Students are required to access readings online (as outlined weekly). Students are responsible for any costs incurred for other materials necessary for final projects. This may include library fees to obtain original full text publications of systematic reviews and studies to be reviewed.

*Mailing of the materials to students:* McMaster University Libraries do not have the ability to mail books obtained through inter-library loans directly to students (these materials are available to McMaster students only through library pick-up). Articles that are available in print only through McMaster Libraries may be mailed to students; however, the student is responsible for covering the cost of the reproduction, shipping and handling of these resources.

# 6. Recommended Materials

Park JJ, Mills EJ, Wathen JK. Introduction to Adaptive Trial Designs and Master Protocols. Cambridge University Press; 2023 Apr 6. https://www.amazon.ca/Introduction-Adaptive-Designs-Master-Protocols/dp/1108926983

# 7. Course Format

This online course consists of 13 units. For the first ten units (Unit 1 to Unit 10), each unit is comprised of required readings, online discussion, and an online tutorial session. Unit 11 will be a writing week with an option to have an open office hour. The last two units (Unit 12 and Unit 13) will be dedicated for student presentations.

All activities except for online tutorial sessions will be performed in the McMaster University online learning environment – Avenue to Learn (<u>http://avenue.mcmaster.ca</u>).

Students are required to attend at least eight of ten online tutorial sessions for the first ten units (Unit 1 to Unit 10). Tutorials will be held at the end of the week via web conferencing tool. Links to the tutorials will be available on Avenue every week. Participation in the live tutorial sessions is strongly encouraged as the most important opportunity to discuss and clarify conceptual issues related to each unit. The agenda for the individual tutorials will be tailored to the unresolved issues and questions raised in the discussion forums. Students will have the opportunity to post additional questions directly to the instructor in advance of each tutorial session.

There will be discussions each week about readings. Students are required to actively participate during the semester, and students will act as a discussion facilitator for one unit and submit their written summary of the discussion. There will be new facilitator for each unit. In the beginning of the semester, students can sign up for the unit in which they will act as a discussion facilitator. This will be done in first-come, first-served basis.

Student participation in the discussion board will be monitored and evaluated contributing to 20% to the final course evaluation (12.5% for general participation and live tutorial; 7.5% for discussion facilitators). For general participation and live tutorial, quality, quantity and timing of participation in course activities and during live tutorials will be considered in the final participation grade. Although participation in the *assignment* discussion board is not evaluated, students are strongly encouraged to take advantage of this additional opportunity to discuss issues with their peers. As discussion facilitators, students will be evaluated on their facilitation and their written summary of the discussion.

Students are expected to:

- Review and complete the weekly lectures, readings, and assignments
- Participate in discussion boards with the instructor and fellow students about key issues related to each unit
- Participate in a live tutorial session each week

# 8. Student Evaluation

Students are given many opportunities to demonstrate their mastery of the course material. Final course marks will be calculated as follows:

- 20% = Online discussion participation
  - 12.5% for general participation and live tutorial
  - o 7.5% for discussion facilitator
- 15% = Critical appraisal 1
- 15% = Critical appraisal 2
- 25% = Critical appraisal 3 (Final)
  - 5% for draft synopsis of final critical appraisal
  - 20% for final submission
- 25% = Final student presentation
  - 5% for participation
  - o 20% for presentation

Grades in graduate courses at McMaster University are reported as letter grades using the following breakdown:

- A+ = 90 to 100 (consistently outstanding)
- A = 85 to 89 (overall superior quality)
- A- = 80 to 84 (high achievement)
- B+ = 77 to 79 (competent, but not consistently high quality)
- B = 73 to 76 (satisfactory quality)
- B- = 70 to 72 (only marginally acceptable)
- F = failure (inadequate work)

Weekly assignments will be posted each week together with other materials for each unit. These assignments are designed to guide students in the process of developing their guideline section with a recommendation. Assignments will be due at the end of each week on Sunday, 11:59PM EDT (midnight).

Weekly assignments are submitted online using the drop-box in Avenue to Learn.

To avoid confusion of submitted documents, students should appropriately name all their submissions with the following format.

#### "[YYYY] HRM732 – Assignment [#]– [First Name LastName].docx"

For instance, if John Smith, who is taking the course in Spring 2023 session, was submitting his first assignment, the title of his document would read as "2023 HRM 732 - Assignment 1 – John Smith.docx".

#### Please submit in a Word file, so we can provide comments to your assignment.

#### Online discussion: 20% in total

**General participation and live tutorials (12.5%):** Discussion with fellow students and instructors is critical to developing a successful and effective learning environment. Each week students are expected to participate in the online discussion forums and during the live tutorial sessions about readings and assignments. At a bare minimum, students are expected to post at least one original post on the weekly discussion board (i.e. not only a comment in the discussion). Students are also expected to respond to at least one thread initiated by others. Messages should generally introduce accurate and relevant information, which teaches others something new. Purely gratuitous or assertive posts (e.g. "Thank you!" or "I agree") will not be considered and will not contribute to student's evaluation. To allow significant time for discussion each week, the timing of a student's post will also be considered in evaluations. Quality, quantity and timing of participation in course activities will be considered in the final participation grade. Although participation in the *assignment* discussion board is not evaluated, students are strongly encouraged to take advantage of this additional opportunity to discuss issues with their peers.

Live tutorials will be held for the first ten units (Unit 1 to Unit 10). Students are required to attend at minimum eight live tutorials for this course.

#### Discussion facilitation (7.5%):

Each week, one student can sign up to facilitate discussion about the readings. Responsibilities of the discussion facilitator include:

- Posting a summary of the readings (as early in the week as possible, preferably the first day) on their discussion board. The summary should not be prepared article by article but an overall synthesis of the readings and preferably no longer than 250 words (including questions for the discussion).
- Facilitating discussion related to the readings (e.g. posting additional resources, posting thought provoking questions early in the week to start discussion and later to stimulate discussion, responding constructively to fellow students' posts, and working to direct discussion to the important issues of the session)
- Updating reading summaries as appropriate

• Preparing and posting a summary of the discussion (at the end of the week) that includes any outstanding issues or questions in order to help fellow students' understanding in the reading materials

#### Critical appraisal 1: 15% in total

For this exercise, students will first identify a randomized clinical trial with published results and then perform a critical appraisal of the trial. Students can select any published clinical trial that is adaptive or non-adaptive (conventional). *If a conventional clinical trial is selected*, the student should discuss the appropriateness of such design and how different adaptive trial designs could have improved the efficiency of the clinical trial. *If an adaptive clinical trial is selected*, the student should discuss the appropriateness of such design and how different adaptive trial designs could have improved the efficiency of the clinical trial. *If an adaptive clinical trial is selected*, the student should discuss the appropriateness of such design and discuss advantages and disadvantages that the trial has over a conventional, non-adaptive trial design.

For a clinical trial with adaptive trial designsFor a clinical trial with non-adaptive trial designsAssessment of appropriateness of the key<br/>methodological features of the selected trialAssessment of appropriateness of the key<br/>methodological features of the selected trialAdvantages and disadvantages of adaptations<br/>over conventional methodsAdvantages and disadvantages of conventional<br/>trial design over adaptive methodsStrengths and limitations of the selected trialStrengths and limitations of the selected trialProposal of alternative methods/adaptationsProposal of alternative methods/adaptations

This critical appraisal, maximum two-page (double lined), will include:

## Critical appraisal 2: 15% in total

For this exercise, students can either identify a paper of their own choosing (approval pending from the instructor) or select one of the following papers below, and they will critically evaluate the methods discussed in the paper.

- 1. VICTAS Trial
  - Hager DN, Hooper MH, Bernard GR, Busse LW, Ely EW, Fowler AA, et al. The Vitamin C, Thiamine and Steroids in Sepsis (VICTAS) Protocol: a prospective, multi-center, double-blind, adaptive sample size, randomized, placebo-controlled, clinical trial. Trials. 2019;20(1):197.
  - Lindsell CJ, McGlothlin A, Nwosu S, Rice TW, Hall A, Bernard GR, et al. Update to the Vitamin C, Thiamine and Steroids in Sepsis (VICTAS) protocol: statistical analysis plan for a prospective, multicenter, double-blind, adaptive sample size, randomized, placebo-controlled, clinical trial. Trials. 2019;20(1):670.
- 2. TAPPAS Trial:

- Mehta CR, Liu L, Theuer C. An adaptive population enrichment phase III trial of TRC105 and pazopanib versus pazopanib alone in patients with advanced angiosarcoma (TAPPAS trial). Ann Oncol. 2019;30(1):103-8
- 3. DAWN Trial:
  - Nogueira RG, Jadhav AP, Haussen DC, Bonafe A, Budzik RF, Bhuva P, et al. Thrombectomy
    6 to 24 Hours after Stroke with a Mismatch between Deficit and Infarct. N Engl J Med.
    2018;378(1):11-21.
- 4. ATTACC, ACTIV-4a, and REMAP, Multi-Platform Trial
  - ATTACC, ACTIV-4a, and REMAP-CAP Investigators. Therapeutic anticoagulation with heparin in noncritically ill patients with Covid-19. New England Journal of Medicine. 2021 Aug 26;385(9):790-802.
  - REMAP-CAP, ACTIV-4a, and ATTACC Investigators. Therapeutic anticoagulation with heparin in critically ill patients with Covid-19. New England Journal of Medicine. 2021 Aug 26;385(9):777-89.

This critical appraisal, maximum two-page (double lined), will include:

- Summary of background and key messages
- Assessment of appropriateness of the key methodological features of the selected publication
- Strengths and weaknesses of the methods discussed in the paper
- Future directions for research

## Critical appraisal 3 (Final): 25% in total

As the final critical appraisal paper, students will discuss how adaptive trial designs and/or master protocols can be applied to a research area of the student's interest. For this, students can select ongoing and/or recently published clinical trials that are well-known in their own clinical field. While not limited to a specific research area, students are encouraged to choose a research question related to their thesis and/or to their clinical expertise. Students can pick one or more relevant examples of proposed or published clinical trials in order to illustrate how adaptive trial designs and/or master protocols can be applied to their field.

As the final project for this course, it will consist of two parts.

- 1. Submission of a research synopsis on the final paper (maximum two-page) (worth 5%)
- 2. Final submission of critical appraisal paper (worth 20%)

All students should ensure they choose a topic that can be feasibly completed given the time and resource-limited nature of this course. Course instructors can help to assess the feasibility of the final

critical appraisal paper and help to assess the feasibility of the project, if needed. Sample guidelines and the criteria for evaluation of the final project will be made available to students.

#### Submission of a research synopsis (5%):

The research synopsis, maximum two-page (double-lined), will include the following:

- Title
- Description of the clinical area
- Identify published and/or ongoing clinical trial(s) in the area
- Discuss the importance and relevance of the selected clinical trial(s)

#### Final critical appraisal paper (20%):

The final critical appraisal paper (maximum 5-pages, double-lined) should be outlined with the following sections:

- 1. **Abstract**: A 150-word, unstructured summary
- 2. Introduction: Provide a brief overview of the clinical area
- 3. **Summary of current evidence base**: Discuss the importance and relevance of selected clinical trial(s)
- 4. Strengths and limitations of trial design: Critically analyze the selected trial(s)
- 5. **Rationale for adaptive design and/or master protocols**: Discuss how adaptive trial design and/or master protocol can address the existing limitations and improve the efficiency of the selected trial(s)
- 6. Figures: (Optional) illustrations to aid the reader
- 7. Tables: (Optional)
- 8. References: Vancouver style

#### Final student presentation: 25%

In the last two weeks of the course, students will prepare a PowerPoint presentation -20 minutes presentation followed by a 10 minutes Q/A - on the trial(s) selected for the final critical appraisal (third one). The presentation, worth 20% of the total grade, should mimic a conference oral presentation.

There will be 5% student participation mark as an audience member to the peer student presentations. Students are expected to attend both of the student presentation weeks, provide comments, and/or ask questions, as needed. Comments and questions should be positive, constructive, and courteous.

# 9. Marking Rubrics

# Written Assignments

Integration of KnowledgeThe paper demonstrates that the author fully understands and has applied concepts learned in the course. Concepts are integrated into the writer's own insights. The writer provides conclusions of the paper.The paper demonstrates that the author, to a certain extent, understands and has applied concepts learned in the course. Concepts are integrated into the some of the paper.The paper demonstrates that the author, to a certain extent, understands nad has applied concepts learned in the course.The paper demonstrates that the author, to a certain extent, understands nad has applied concepts learned in the course.The paper does not demonstrates that the author, to a certain extent, understands napplied concepts learned in the course.The paper does not demonstrates that the author to a certain extent, understands napplied concepts learned in the course.Topic focusThe topic is focused narowly enough for the scope of this assignment. A thesis statement provides direction or hypothesis.The topic is focused but lacks direction. The paper is about a sections of the paper.The writer has omitted per to be to be writer has not sections of the paper.The writer has omitted per to brief concestively. Quotations from disported from all sources.Cursory discussion in all the sections.Cursory discussion in all the sections of the paper or brief discussion in only a leaboration in all sections of the paper.Does not tie together information from all sources. Paper flows with only next without the need for headings. Author's writing doen nortains an understanding of the relationship <th></th> <th>4</th> <th>3</th> <th>2</th> <th>1 or 0</th> <th>Mark</th>		4	3	2	1 or 0	Mark
narrowly enough for the scope of this assignment. A thesis statement provides 	nowledge	demonstrates that the author fully understands and has applied concepts learned in the course. Concepts are integrated into the writer's own insights. The writer provides concluding remarks that show analysis and synthesis of ideas.	demonstrates that the author, for the most part, understands and has applied concepts learned in the course. Some of the conclusions, however, are not supported in	demonstrates that the author, to a certain extent, understands and has applied concepts learned in	demonstrate that the author has fully understood and applied concepts	
discussionelaboration in all sections of the paper.elaboration in most sections of the paper.pertinent content or content runs-on excessively. Quotations from others outweigh the writer's own ideas excessively.all the sections of the paper or brief discussion in only a few sections.CohesivenessTies together information from all sources. Paper flows for nea insue to the next without the need for headings. Author's writing demonstrates an understanding of the relationship among material obtained from all sources.For the most part, ties together information from all sources. Paper flows with only some disjointedness. Author's writing demonstrates an understanding of the relationship among material obtained from all sources.Does not tie together information. Paper does not flow and apparent. Author's writing does not demonstrate an understanding of the relationship among material obtained from all sources.Does not tie together information from all sources. Writing does not demonstrate an understanding of the relationship among material obtained from all sources.Does not die does not does not demonstrate understanding of the relationship among material obtained from all sources.Unacceptable number of spelling ad/or grammar mistakes.Citations / SourcesCites all data obtained from other sources. Consistent style and accurate citation areCites most data obtained from other sources. Citation style is used in both textCites some data obtained from other sources. Citation style is either inconsistentDoes not cite sources.		narrowly enough for the scope of this assignment. A thesis statement provides direction for the paper, either by statement of a position or hypothesis.	but lacks direction. The paper is about a specific topic but the writer has not established a position.	for the scope of this assignment.	defined.	
information from all sources. Paper flows from one issue to the next without the need for headings. Author's writing demonstrates an understanding of the relationship among material obtained from all sources.together information from all sources. Paper flows with only some disjointedness. Author's writing demonstrates an understanding of the relationship among all sources.together information from all sources. Paper flows with only some disjointedness. Author's writing demonstrates an understanding of the relationship among all sources.together information from all sources.information. Paper does not flow - apperate issues. Headings are necessary to link concepts. Writing does not demonstrate an 	scussion	elaboration in all	elaboration in most	pertinent content or content runs-on excessively. Quotations from others outweigh the writer's own ideas	all the sections of the paper or brief discussion in only a	
grammargrammar mistakes.grammar mistakes.grammar mistakes.of spelling and/or grammar mistakes.Citations / SourcesCites all data obtained from other sources. Consistent style and accurate citation areCites most data obtained from other sources. Citation style is used in both textCites some data obtained from other sources. Citation style is either inconsistentOf spelling and/or grammar mistakes.		information from all sources. Paper flows from one issue to the next without the need for headings. Author's writing demonstrates an understanding of the relationship among material obtained from all sources.	together information from all sources. Paper flows with only some disjointedness. Author's writing demonstrates an understanding of the relationship among material obtained from all sources.	together information from all sources. Paper does not flow - disjointedness is apparent. Author's writing does not demonstrate an understanding of the relationship among material obtained from all sources.	information. Paper does not flow and appears to be created from disparate issues. Headings are necessary to link concepts. Writing does not demonstrate understanding any	
Sources      from other sources.      obtained from other      obtained from other        Consistent style and accurate citation are      is used in both text      sources. Citation style					of spelling and/or	
bibliography. Total	ources	from other sources. Consistent style and accurate citation are used in both text and	obtained from other sources. Citation style is used in both text	obtained from other sources. Citation style is either inconsistent	Does not cite sources.	/24

# **Oral Presentation**

	4	3	2	1 or 0	Mark
Visual Appeal	There are no errors in spelling, grammar and punctuation. Information is clear and concise on each slide. Visually appealing/engaging.	There are some errors in spelling, grammar and punctuation. Too much information on two or more slides. Significant visual appeal.	There are many errors in spelling, grammar and punctuation. Too much information was contained on many slides. Minimal effort made to make slides appealing or too much going on.	There are many errors in spelling, grammar and punctuation. The slides were difficult to read and too much information had been copied onto them. No visual appeal.	
Comprehension	Extensive knowledge of topic. Members showed complete understanding of assignment. Accurately answered all questions posed.	Most showed a good understanding of topic. The presenter was able to answer most of audience questions.	The presenter showed good understanding of some parts of topic.	The presenter didn't understand topic. Majority of information incorrect.	
Presentation Skills	Regular/constant eye contact. The presenter held the audiences' attention throughout the entire presentation. Appropriate speaking volume & body language.	Steady eye contact. The presenter held the audiences' attention for most of the presentation.	The presenter focused on only part of audience. Sporadic eye contact by the presenter. The presenter exhibited distracting body language and inadequate speaking volume.	Minimal eye contact by the presenter. The presenter could not engage the audience and spoke too quickly or quietly making it difficult to understand. Inappropriate/disinterested body language.	
Content	The presentation was a concise summary of the topic with all questions answered. Comprehensive and complete coverage of information.	The presentation was a good summary of the topic. Most important information covered; little irrelevant info.	The presentation was informative but several elements went unanswered. Much of the information irrelevant; coverage of some of major points.	The presentation was a brief look at the topic but many questions were left unanswered. Majority of information irrelevant and significant points left out.	
Preparedness	The presenter knew the information, participated equally, and helped each other as needed. Extremely prepared and rehearsed.	The presenter was very well prepared.	The presenter primarily prepared but with some dependence on just reading off slides.	Evident lack of preparation/rehearsal. Dependence on slides.	/20

# **10.** Online Discussion Guidelines

Participation in discussions with fellow students and instructors is critical to developing a sound understanding of course material. Below are some guidelines for successful on-line participation in discussion boards:

- Contribute at least one original post each week
- Respond to at least 2 threads initiated by others each week
- Ensure any message you post is accurate and meaningful
- Post information that is relevant to the discussion thread and that teaches others Essomething new
- Properly reference content when appropriate; if you refer to the information from any Esource (e.g. papers, websites), provide the citation this will enable others to refer to it Elater. The most valuable messages, however, are written in your own words.
- Thank someone for their assistance or let them know that you agree with what they have said.
- Include a subject line that conveys the main point you make in the message. It may not be enough to use a keyword or phrase as your subject. The most beneficial is a short Twitter-like sentence that states the main point of your message and provides enough information to determine its essence.
- Consider addressing issues that may not be of interest to the other students with instructors privately (e.g. more complex or advanced issues that you are personally vested in).

# 11. Policy on Late Assignments

10% will be deducted from all late assignments, and only in extreme situations final papers will be accepted up to 7 days after the due date.

The timely submission is crucial to the smooth running of the weekly sessions; therefore, flexibility on this issue would be to the detriment of the whole class. If something unforeseen comes up in the week you are assigned to facilitate the discussion, it will be your responsibility to find another student who would be willing to switch weeks with you.

Exceptions to this policy are at the discretion of the online instructor. It is important that you contact the instructor as soon as possible in the case of an emergency and well before a deadline in the case of previous commitments or restrictions.

## 12. Course Instructors

#### Jay Park: Email: parkj136@mcmaster.ca

Please contact Jay Park for all administrative or process related issues (e.g. registration, technology, scheduling, etc.).

Assistant Professor, Department of Health Research Methods, Evidence, and Impact (McMaster University)

Edward Mills Email: millsej@mcmaster.ca

Professor, Department of Health Research Methods, Evidence, and Impact (McMaster University)

# 13. Course and Instructor Evaluations

Similar to other HRM courses, at the end of the course students will be asked to complete a formal evaluation of the course and of their primary instructor.

# 14. Feedback about the Course

A *Feedback Forum* discussion area will also be available throughout the duration of the course where students can post and discuss suggestions for improving and augmenting the content, organization and running of the course. This forum gives students an opportunity to discuss with others the pros and cons of specific tasks as well as allowing, where necessary and possible, the instructor to make immediate modifications to the course (e.g. the addition of a discussion forum or a student-created resource library).

# 15. Communication Expectations & Netiquette

#### What you can expect from us

- We will respect you and take your questions and concerns seriously
- We will respond to your requests for assistance in a timely fashion E

For important personal matters please email your instructor directly (using the contact information above) and we will respond within 24 hours during the week or 48 hours over the weekend.

#### **Discussion boards**

Interaction among students is important to building a learning community and the success of the course. Consequently, we will not respond to every post in a discussion board. We will monitor the discussion forums at least every 72 hours and will intervene when issues arise. If an issue arises that needs immediate attention of the instructor please follow-up with a personal email.

#### Feedback on assignments

You will receive feedback on your assignments within 1 week of submission. If this is not possible, we will let you know within this time when you can expect your mark and feedback.

#### What we expect from you

We expect everyone to communicate in a pleasant and efficient manner that respects all involved. The way want to review the core rules of netiquette: http://www.albion.com/netiquette/corerules.html

**Netiquette** – etiquette in technology – social conventions that facilitate communication in a polite and respectful manner in electronic networks (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Etiquette\_in\_technology)

# **16.** Academic Integrity

The Office of Academic Integrity at McMaster University supports students and faculty deal with issues of academic integrity. They have an excellent website (http://mcmaster.ca/academicintegrity/index.html) that includes:

- McMaster's policy on 'Academic Integrity'
- Services to assist students in avoiding dishonesty (including definitions, quizzes, and Eonline services to check your work for plagiarism)
- The consequences of violating the University policies on academic integrity E

# 17. Inclusivity, Accessibility, and Accommodations

McMaster University and your instructors are committed to creating an equitable and accessible environment and to encouraging openness to multiple perspectives and points of view. If you have a dis/ability or heath consideration that may require accommodations, please feel free to approach one of the instructors and/or Student Accessibility Services (<u>https://sas.mcmaster.ca/</u>) as soon as possible to discuss accommodations.

For further information, consult McMaster University's Policy for Academic Accommodation of Students with Disabilities: <u>http://www.mcmaster.ca/policy/Students-AcademicStudies/AcademicAccommodation-</u>StudentsWithDisabilities.pdf

# HRM 732 Course Calendar:

This is a tentative calendar. You will be notified as soon as possible if any changes need to be made.

Units will start at 12:01AM on Sunday mornings and end at 11:59PM on Saturday night (All times refer to **Eastern Time** (USA and Canada).

Week	Unit and Topic	Dates	Tutorial time	Assignments
1	Course introduction and history of adaptive trial designs and master protocols	May 1 – 7, 2023	May 5, 3-5pm (EST)	
2	Adaptive trial designs and master protocols: Characteristics, principles, and types	May 8 – 14, 2023	May 12, 3-5pm (EST)	
3	Adaptive designs for dose finding and efficacy	May 15 – 21, 2023	May 19, 3-5pm (EST)	
4	Case studies in seamless and sample-size re- assessment designs	May 22 – 28, 2023	May 26, 3-5pm (EST)	
5	Clinical trial simulations, decision rules, and statistical analyses	May 29 – June 4, 2023	June 2, 3-5pm (EST)	Critical appraisal assignment 1 (June 4)
6	Precision oncology trials: Basket and umbrella trials	June 5 – 11, 2023	June 9, 3-5pm (EST)	
7	A case study on a platform trial: STAMPEDE trial	June 12 – 18, 2023	June 16, 3-5pm (EST)	
8	A case study on a platform trial: I-SPY2 trial	June 19 – 25, 2023	June 23, 3-5pm (EST)	
9	Standards for adaptive designs and master protocols	June 26 – July 2, 2023	June 30, 3-5pm (EST)	Critical appraisal assignment 2 (July 2)
10	Practical considerations for funding and implementation of adaptive trial designs and master protocols	July 3 – 9, 2023	July 7, 3-5pm (EST)	Synopsis on critical appraisal assignment 3 (July 9)
11	Writing week & open office hours	July 10 – 16, 2023	July 14, 3-5pm (EST)	Final Critical appraisal assignment 3 (July 16)
12	Student presentations I	July 17 –23, 2023	July 21, 3-5pm (EST)	Presentations
13	Student presentations II	July 24 – 30 2023	July 28, 3-5pm (EST)	Presentations
14	Student presentations III (if required)	July 31 – Aug 4 2023	August 4, 3-5pm (EST)	Presentations

Each assignment is due at the end of the week, Sunday at 11:59PM EST. The third peer review on final review paper is due the week (7 days) after the final submission deadline.

#### Important Dates (McMaster University Holidays)

•	Victoria Day	May 22 <sup>nd</sup> , 2023
	violonia Bay	

• Canada Day July 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2023

# **Unit 0: Orientation**

#### Unit Introduction:

Some of you may be new to the online learning environment or to McMaster University's learning management system – Avenue to Learn, thus, there is no learning module for this week. Instead, we want you to use this time to meet each other and ensure that everyone is comfortable navigating around the course.

#### Learning Objectives:

At the conclusion of this session you should:

- Understand the course format, assignments and evaluation methods.
- Know the expected format of final project results (guideline recommendations and Epackages)
- Know a bit about your peers and your instructor []]
- Know where to find help stepsep

#### Assignments:

- 1. Carefully read over the entire course syllabus and post any questions in the *General Discussion* & *Help* forum (see the link in the tree in the left-hand column under *The Essentials*).
- 2. Prepare a bio-blurb (1-3 short paragraphs), including:
  - a. A brief description of your background and explanation of your area of study/work (Have you successfully explained what you study or do to any of your family members yet? Try to explain it to your fellow students and instructors as if they were uninitiated family members.)
  - b. Reason(s) for taking this course, what you hope to gain by completing this course
  - c. Some interesting information about you e.g. how would you like others to address you (by your name, nickname etc.), how far from McMaster you currently live (pictures and short video clips are welcome), etc.
- 3. Post your bio-blurb on the *Introductions* discussion board and comment on some of your peers' posts start a meaningful discussion.
- 4. Sign-up for facilitation of a discussion on the *Readings* discussion board (choose one week).
- Confirm that you can access online readings through McMaster University library. For more information please refer to: http://library.mcmaster.ca/libaccess (Note: your login and password are your MAC ID and your MAC ID password).

Where to go for help:

- Anything concerning this HRM 732 course content look in the course materials, ask your peers, search the Web. If all fails contact your instructor.
- Avenue to Learn technical help: use the *Help* section, if you cannot find an appropriate information please use a support ticket to contact the McMaster Institute for Innovation and Excellence in Teaching and Learning (MIIETL) directly: Ehttp://miietl.mcmaster.ca/site/avenue-to-learn/
- HRM Program or general inquiries please contact Abir Abdulla (HRM Program Assistant hrmasst@mcmaster.ca) or Jay Park, <u>parkj136@mcmaster.ca</u>

#### Unit 1: Introduction and history of adaptive trial designs and master protocols

#### Unit Introduction:

As (most) students will either have taken HRM 730 or 733 or will have experiences in randomized clinical trials, we assume the enrolled students are familiar with the classical trial designs. In this unit, we will provide a quick overview of classical randomized clinical trial designs and will introduce history of adaptive designs including group sequential designs.

# It is highly recommended that students read documents related to the final critical appraisal assignment and corresponding presentation.

#### Learning Objectives:

1. To understand what constitutes adaptive trial designs trial and master protocols and their strengths and limitations

#### Required Readings:

- 1. Bauer P, Bretz F, Dragalin V, Konig F, Wassmer G. Twenty-five years of confirmatory adaptive designs: opportunities and pitfalls. Stat Med. 2016;35(3):325-47.
- Woodcock J, LaVange LM. Master Protocols to Study Multiple Therapies, Multiple Diseases, or Both. N Engl J Med. 2017;377(1):62-70.
- Bartlett RH, Roloff DW, Cornell RG, Andrews AF, Dillon PW, Zwischenberger JB. Extracorporeal circulation in neonatal respiratory failure: a prospective randomized study. Pediatrics. 1985;76(4):479-87.
- 4. Hill AB. Memories of the British streptomycin trial in tuberculosis: the first randomized clinical trial. Controlled clinical trials. 1990 Apr 1;11(2):77-9.

#### Additional Recommended Readings and Resources:

- Park JJH, Grais RF, Taljaard M, Nakimuli-Mpungu E, Jehan F, Nachega JB, et al. Urgently seeking efficiency and sustainability of clinical trials in global health. Lancet Glob Health. 2021;9(5):e681e90.
- 2. Biswas S, Liu DD, Lee JJ, Berry DA. Bayesian clinical trials at the University of Texas MD Anderson cancer center. Clinical Trials. 2009;6(3):205-16.
- 3. Chow SC, Chang M. Adaptive design methods in clinical trials a review. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* 2008; **3**: 11.
- Sebille V, Bellissant E. Sequential methods and group sequential designs for comparative clinical trials. *Fundam Clin Pharmacol* 2003; **17**(5): 505-16.

5. Chapter 1. Introduction. In: Jennison C, Turnbull BW. Group sequential methods with applications to clinical trials. CRC Press; 1999.

- 1. Read over the documents related to the final course project and post any questions in the *General Discussion & Help* forum (see the link in the tree in the left-hand column under *The Essentials*).
- 2. Sign-up for facilitation of a discussion on the *Readings* discussion board (choose one week).
- 3. Participate in online discussion forums about readings and assignments

# Unit 2: Adaptive trial designs and master protocols: Characteristics, principles and types

#### Unit Introduction:

In this unit, we will introduce principles of clinical designs in the context of adaptive trial designs and master protocols, their characteristics, and different types.

#### Learning Objectives:

- To understand the characteristics, principles, and different types of adaptive designs
- To understand the characteristics, principles, and different types of master protocols (basket trials, umbrella trials, and platform trials)

#### Required Readings:

- 1. Thorlund K, Haggstrom J, Park JJ, Mills EJ. Key design considerations for adaptive clinical trials: a primer for clinicians. *BMJ* 2018; **360**: k698.
- 2. Park JJH, Hsu G, Siden EG, Thorlund K, Mills EJ. An overview of precision oncology basket and umbrella trials for clinicians. CA Cancer J Clin. 2020;70(2):125-37
- 3. Park JJ, Harari O, Dron L, Lester RT, Thorlund K, Mills EJ. An Overview of Platform Trials with a Checklist for Clinical Readers. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. 2020 May 13.
- 4. Adaptive Platform Trials C. Adaptive platform trials: definition, design, conduct and reporting considerations. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2019;18(10):797-807

#### Additional Recommended Readings and Resources:

- 1. Park JJH, Ford N, Xavier D, Ashorn P, Grais RF, Bhutta ZA, et al. Randomised trials at the level of the individual. Lancet Glob Health. 2021;9(5):e691-e700.
- 2. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Adaptive Designs for Medical Device Clinical Studies Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff (2016)
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration. Master Protocols: Efficient Clinical Trial Design Strategies to Expedite Development of Oncology Drugs and Biologics Guidance for Industry (Draft Guidance) 2018 [Available from: <u>https://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/UC M621817.pdf</u>.
- 4. U.S. Food Drug Administration. Guidance for the use of Bayesian statistics in medical device clinical trials. Maryland: US Food and Drug Administration. 2010.

- European Medicines Agency. Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP). Reflection Paper On Methodological Issues In Confirmatory Clinical Trials With Flexible Design and Analysis Plan (2006)
- 6. Berry SM, Connor JT, Lewis RJ. The platform trial: an efficient strategy for evaluating multiple treatments. JAMA. 2015;313(16):1619-20.
- 7. CHEOSNews. Bayesian Adaptive Trial Design—Dr. Roger Lewis, April 26, 2013. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SZUaiXrefIU&index=1
- 8. HARC HARC. Adaptive Trials and Master Protocols. Dr. Don Berry. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GXSLOBghngc

- 1. Participate in online discussion forums about readings and assignments
- 2. Perform relevant research related to assignment on critical appraisal assignment 1 (due end of week 4)

## Unit 3: Adaptive designs for dose finding and efficacy

#### Unit Introduction:

In this unit, we will review differences between exploratory and confirmatory trials and discuss commonly used adaptive designs for dose finding (exploratory) and confirmatory clinical studies.

## Learning Objectives:

- To review the differences between exploratory and confirmatory clinical trials
- To understand different adaptive trial designs used for exploratory and confirmatory investigations.

#### Required Readings:

- 1. Lee SM, Wages NA, Goodman KA, Lockhart AC. Designing dose-finding phase I clinical trials: Top 10 questions that should be discussed with your statistician. JCO precision oncology. 2021 Jan;5:317-24.
- Wheeler GM, Mander AP, Bedding A, Brock K, Cornelius V, Grieve AP, Jaki T, Love SB, Odondi LO, Weir CJ, Yap C. How to design a dose-finding study using the continual reassessment method. BMC medical research methodology. 2019 Dec;19(1):1-5.
- Pallmann P, Bedding AW, Choodari-Oskooei B, Dimairo M, Flight L, Hampson LV, Holmes J, Mander AP, Sydes MR, Villar SS, Wason JM. Adaptive designs in clinical trials: why use them, and how to run and report them. BMC medicine. 2018 Dec;16(1):2
- 4. Lakens D, Pahlke F, Wassmer G. 2021 Group sequential designs: A tutorial. Preprint.

#### Additional Recommended Readings and Resources:

- 1. Bothwell LE, Avorn J, Khan NF, Kesselheim AS. Adaptive design clinical trials: a review of the literature and ClinicalTrials. gov. BMJ open. 2018 Feb 1;8(2):e018320.
- 2. Emerson SS, Fleming TR. Adaptive methods: telling "the rest of the story". Journal of biopharmaceutical statistics. 2010;20(6):1150-65.
- 3. Harrington JA, Wheeler GM, Sweeting MJ, Mander AP, Jodrell DI. Adaptive designs for dual-agent phase I dose-escalation studies. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2013;10(5):277-88.

- 1. Participate in online discussion forums about readings and assignments
- Perform relevant research related to assignment on critical appraisal assignment 1 (due end of week
  4)

#### Unit 4: Case studies in seamless and sample size re-assessment designs

#### Unit Introduction:

In this unit, we will discuss build on the topics discussed in the previous units with case studies of seamless phase II/III and sample size reassessment designs.

#### Learning Objectives:

- To review key methodological concepts in seamless phase II/III and sample size reassessment designs
- To discuss case studies of seamless phase II/III and sample size reassessment designs

#### Required Readings:

- 1. Bauer P, Bretz F, Dragalin V, Konig F, Wassmer G. Twenty-five years of confirmatory adaptive designs: opportunities and pitfalls. Stat Med. 2016;35(3):325-47.
- 2. Bhatt DL, Mehta C. Adaptive Designs for Clinical Trials. N Engl J Med. 2016;375(1):65-74.
- Cuffe RL, Lawrence D, Stone A, Vandemeulebroecke M. When is a seamless study desirable? Case studies from different pharmaceutical sponsors. Pharmaceutical statistics. 2014 Jul;13(4):229-37.

#### Additional Recommended Readings and Resources:

- 1. Berry DA. Adaptive clinical trials in oncology. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2011;9(4):199-207.
- 2. Chow SC, Chang M. Adaptive design methods in clinical trials a review. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* 2008; **3**: 11.

- 1. Participate in online discussion forums about readings and assignments
- 2. Critical appraisal assignment (due by the end of this week; worth 15% of overall grade)

#### Unit 5: Clinical trial simulations, decision rules, and statistical analyses

#### Unit Introduction:

In this unit, we will discuss the principles of clinical trial simulations and the benefits of performing clinical trial simulations for planning any clinical trials. We will also introduce decision rules that are commonly used in adaptive trial designs, as well as discuss the statistical analyses that can help the efficiency and interpretation of clinical trials research.

#### Learning Objectives:

- To understand the principles of clinical trial simulations and how they can be useful for trial planning
- To understand different decision rules that are commonly used in adaptive trial designs
- To understand the statistical analyses that can be used to improve the efficiency of clinical trial research

## Required Readings:

- 1. Hummel J, Wang S, Kirkpatrick J. Using simulation to optimize adaptive trial designs: applications in learning and confirmatory phase trials. Clinical Investigation. 2015;5(4):401-13.
- 2. Boulesteix AL, Groenwold RH, Abrahamowicz M, Binder H, Briel M, Hornung R, et al. Introduction to statistical simulations in health research. BMJ Open. 2020;10(12):e039921.
- Bendtsen M. A gentle introduction to the comparison between null hypothesis testing and Bayesian analysis: reanalysis of two randomized controlled trials. Journal of medical Internet research. 2018;20(10):e10873.
- 4. Saville BR, Connor JT, Ayers GD, Alvarez J. The utility of Bayesian predictive probabilities for interim monitoring of clinical trials. Clin Trials. 2014;11(4):485-93.

#### Additional Recommended Readings and Resources:

- Mayer C, Perevozskaya I, Leonov S, Dragalin V, Pritchett Y, Bedding A, et al. Simulation Practices for Adaptive Trial Designs in Drug and Device Development. Statistics in Biopharmaceutical Research. 2019;11(4):325-35
- Burton A, Altman DG, Royston P, Holder RL. The design of simulation studies in medical statistics. Stat Med. 2006;25(24):4279-92.
- 3. Morris TP, White IR, Crowther MJ. Using simulation studies to evaluate statistical methods. Stat Med. 2019;38(11):2074-102.
- 4. Berry DA. Bayesian clinical trials. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2006; **5**(1): 27-36.
- MD Anderson Cancer Center. CRM Simulator. <u>https://biostatistics.mdanderson.org/softwaredownload/SingleSoftware.aspx?Software\_Id=13</u>

- 6. MD Anderson Cancer Center. One-Arm Time-to-Event Simulator. https://biostatistics.mdanderson.org/softwaredownload/SingleSoftware.aspx?Software\_Id=98
- 7. LearnBayes package in R
- 8. Stata. Introduction to Bayesian Statistics, part 1: The basic concepts. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0F0QoMCSKJ4
- 9. Stata. Introduction to Bayesian Statistics, part 2: MCMC and the Metropolis Hastings algorithm. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OTO1DygELpY

- 1. Participate in online discussion forums about readings and assignments
- 2. Perform relevant research related to critical appraisal 2 assignment (due end of week 7)

# Unit 6: Precision oncology trials: Basket and umbrella trials

#### Unit Introduction:

In this unit of precision oncology trials, we will review new emerging designs in basket and umbrella trials. We will discuss motivations, strengths, and pitfalls of these biomarker-guided trials.

## Learning Objectives:

- To understand the concept of basket trials and umbrella trials
- To understand the motivations, strengths, and limitations of biomarker-guided precision oncology trials

#### Required Readings:

- 1. Renfro LA, Sargent DJ. Statistical controversies in clinical research: basket trials, umbrella trials, and other master protocols: a review and examples. Annals of Oncology. 2016 Oct 11;28(1):34-43
- 2. Park JJH, Hsu G, Siden EG, Thorlund K, Mills EJ. An overview of precision oncology basket and umbrella trials for clinicians. CA Cancer J Clin. 2020;70(2):125-37

#### Additional Recommended Readings and Resources:

- 1. Antoniou M, Jorgensen AL, Kalamunda-Dona R. Biomarker-Guided Adaptive Trial Designs in Phase II and Phase III: A Methodological Review. PLoS One. 2016;11(2):e0149803.
- 2. Hirakawa A, Asano J, Sato H, Teramukai S. Master protocol trials in oncology: Review and new trial designs. Contemporary clinical trials communications. 2018 Dec 1;12:1-8.

It is highly recommended that students to start on the required reading of week 7 and week 8.

- 1. Participate in online discussion forums about readings and assignments
- 2. Perform relevant research related to critical appraisal 2 assignment (due end of week 7)

## Unit 7: A case study on a platform trial: STAMPEDE trial

#### Unit Introduction:

In this unit, we will continue to apply the concepts from Unit 1 and 6 by critically appraising the first ever conducted platform trial called STAMPEDE. The STAMPEDE trial is a platform trial on prostate cancer; it is an interesting example of an open-form multi-arm and multistage trial that particularly terminated several treatments arms and added novel treatment arms over several years.

#### Learning Objectives:

- To identify the strengths and limitations of the STAMPEDE trial
- To assess the appropriateness of the key methodological features of the designs of the STAMPEDE trial

## Required Readings:

- James ND, Sydes MR, Mason MD, Clarke NW, Anderson J, Dearnaley DP, et al. Celecoxib plus hormone therapy versus hormone therapy alone for hormone-sensitive prostate cancer: first results from the STAMPEDE multiarm, multistage, randomised controlled trial. Lancet Oncol. 2012;13(5):549-58.
- Sydes MR, Parmar MK, James ND, Clarke NW, Dearnaley DP, Mason MD, et al. Issues in applying multi-arm multi-stage methodology to a clinical trial in prostate cancer: the MRC STAMPEDE trial. Trials. 2009;10:39.
- 3. Sydes MR, Parmar MK, Mason MD, Clarke NW, Amos C, Anderson J, et al. Flexible trial design in practice stopping arms for lack-of-benefit and adding research arms mid-trial in STAMPEDE: a multi-arm multi-stage randomized controlled trial. Trials. 2012;13:168.
- 4. James ND, de Bono JS, Spears MR, et al. Abiraterone for Prostate Cancer Not Previously Treated with Hormone Therapy. *N Engl J Med* 2017; **377**(4): 338-51.

#### Additional Recommended Readings and Resources:

- 1. Park JJ, Harari O, Dron L, Lester RT, Thorlund K, Mills EJ. An Overview of Platform Trials with a Checklist for Clinical Readers. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. 2020 May 13.
- 2. STAMPEDE trial website, http://www.stampedetrial.org/
- http://www.stampedetrial.org/media-section/publication-repository/
- <u>http://www.stampedetrial.org/media-section/presentation-repository/</u>
- <u>http://www.stampedetrial.org/media-section/useful-links/</u>

#### Assignment:

• Participate in online discussion forums about readings and assignments

- Critical appraisal 2 assignment (due by end of this week, worth 15% of the overall grade)
- Perform relevant research related to critical appraisal assignment 3 (synopsis due by end of week 8; worth 5% of the overall grade)

#### Unit 8: A case study on a platform trial: I-SPY2 trial

#### Unit Introduction:

I-SPY 2 trial is a platform trial, a biomarker-guided adaptive trial that evaluated 12 neoadjuvant therapies for breast cancer. This is one of the hallmark study for personalized medicine and adaptive design trials. In this unit, we will use the concepts from Unit 1 to 7 in order to review and critically appraise the I-SPY2 trial for its key methodological features and discuss its strengths and limitations.

#### Learning Objectives:

- To identify the strengths and limitations of the I-SPY2 trial
- To assess the appropriateness of the key methodological features of the I-SPY 2 trial

#### Required Readings:

- 1) Park JW, Liu MC, Yee D, Yau C, van 't Veer LJ, Symmans WF, et al. Adaptive Randomization of Neratinib in Early Breast Cancer. N Engl J Med. 2016;375(1):11-22.
- 2) Renfro LA, Mallick H, An MW, Sargent DJ, Mandrekar SJ. Clinical trial designs incorporating predictive biomarkers. Cancer Treat Rev. 2016;43:74-82.
- 3) Rugo HS, Olopade OI, DeMichele A, Yau C, van 't Veer LJ, Buxton MB, et al. Adaptive Randomization of Veliparib-Carboplatin Treatment in Breast Cancer. N Engl J Med. 2016;375(1):23-34.
- 4) ClinicalTrials.gov. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01042379

#### Additional Recommended Readings and Resources:

- 1. Park JJ, Harari O, Dron L, Lester RT, Thorlund K, Mills EJ. An Overview of Platform Trials with a Checklist for Clinical Readers. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. 2020 May 13.
- Barker AD, Sigman CC, Kelloff GJ, Hylton NM, Berry DA, Esserman LJ. I-SPY 2: an adaptive breast cancer trial design in the setting of neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2009;86(1):97-100.
- 3. Berry SM, Connor JT, Lewis RJ. The platform trial: an efficient strategy for evaluating multiple treatments. JAMA. 2015;313(16):1619-20.
- 4. Adaptive Platform Trials C. Adaptive platform trials: definition, design, conduct and reporting considerations. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2019;18(10):797-807
- 5. Berry Consultants. I-SPY 2 and Other Platform Trials. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nwiVixhni8A
- 6. I-SPY trials website. <u>http://www.ispytrials.org/home</u>
- <u>https://www.ispytrials.org/results/manuscripts</u>
- <u>https://www.ispytrials.org/results/meeting-abstracts</u>
- <u>https://www.ispytrials.org/results/past-agents</u>

- Participate in online discussion forums about readings and assignments
- Synopsis of critical appraisal assignment 3 (due by the end of this week; worth 5% of overall grade)

#### Unit 9: Standards for adaptive designs and master protocols

#### Unit Introduction:

In this unit, we will discuss methodological standards that generally apply to adaptive clinical trials. We will specifically refer to the document by Detry and colleagues, "Standards for the Design, Conduct, and Evaluation of Adaptive Randomized Clinical Trials," and the CONSORT extension to adaptive trial designs (Dimariro et al 2018)

#### Learning Objectives:

- To understand general methodological standards for adaptive clinical trials proposed by Detry et al 2012 and Dimariro et al 2020
- To critically appraise Detry et al 2012 for its strength and limitations
- To critically appraise Dimariro et al 2020 for its strength and limitations

## Required Readings:

- Detry 2012. Standards for the Design, Conduct, and Evaluation of Adaptive Randomized Clinical Trials. The Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI)
- Dimairo M, Pallmann P, Wason J, Todd S, Jaki T, Julious SA, Mander AP, Weir CJ, Koenig F, Walton MK, Nicholl JP. The Adaptive designs CONSORT Extension (ACE) statement: a checklist with explanation and elaboration guideline for reporting randomised trials that use an adaptive design. bmj. 2020 Jun 17;369.

#### Additional Recommended Readings and Resources:

- 1. PCORI. Category 9: Standards for Adaptive and Bayesian Trial Designs: <u>https://www.pcori.org/research-results/about-our-research/research-methodology/methodology-</u> <u>standards-academic-curriculum-6</u>
- 2. AHRQ Primary Care. Adaptive Trial Design and Learning Evaluation: Methods for PCOR and Quality Improvement Assessment. <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZJrDsk01w2Y</u>
- Mayer C, Perevozskaya I, Leonov S, Dragalin V, Pritchett Y, Bedding A, et al. Simulation Practices for Adaptive Trial Designs in Drug and Device Development. Statistics in Biopharmaceutical Research. 2019;11(4):325-35

- 1. Participate in online discussion forums about readings and assignments
- 2. Perform relevant research for critical appraisal assignment 3 (due by the end of week 11)

# Unit 10: Practical considerations for funding and implementation of adaptive trial designs and master protocols

#### Unit Introduction:

In this unit, we will summarize the lessons gained from previous units (Unit 1-9) and discuss practical considerations for funding and implementation of adaptive trial designs and master protocols. While there are no assigned readings this week, students are encouraged to review assigned and/or recommended readings from previous units.

#### Learning Objectives:

- Discuss practical considerations for funding and implementation of adaptive trial designs
- Discuss practical considerations for funding and implementation of master protocols

#### Required Readings:

- 1. Hague D, Townsend S, Masters L, Rauchenberger M, Van Looy N, Diaz-Montana C, et al. Changing platforms without stopping the train: experiences of data management and data management systems when adapting platform protocols by adding and closing comparisons. Trials. 2019;20(1):294
- 2. Morrell L, Hordern J, Brown L, Sydes MR, Amos CL, Kaplan RS, et al. Mind the gap? The platform trial as a working environment. Trials. 2019;20(1):297
- 3. Schiavone F, Bathia R, Letchemanan K, Masters L, Amos C, Bara A, et al. This is a platform alteration: a trial management perspective on the operational aspects of adaptive and platform and umbrella protocols. Trials. 2019;20(1):264
- 4. Clinical Trials Transformation Initiative (CTTI) Materials on Master Protocols
  - High-Level Roadmap:
  - Protocol Development Map:
  - Study Stimulation:

#### Additional Recommended Readings and Resources:

#### **CTTI Materials on Master Protocols**

- FDA Educational Resource:
- Vendor Assessment Tool:
- Business Plan:

#### Assignment:

1. Participate in online discussion forums about readings and assignments

- 2. Perform relevant research for critical appraisal assignment 3 (due by the end of week 11)
- 3. Sign up for presentation time for either week 12 or 13

## Unit 11: Writing week and open office hour

#### Unit Introduction:

There will be no required readings and online tutorials. Students will have an opportunity to spend this week as a writing week for the final critical appraisal. There will be an optional open office hour where the students will have an opportunity to ask questions and discuss regarding their final critical appraisal and presentations.

#### Learning Objectives:

There are no intended learning objectives for this unit.

#### Required and Additional Recommended Readings and Resources:

There are no required readings, recommended readings and resources.

- Final critical appraisal assignment 3 (due at the end of this week)
- Sign up for student presentation
- Student presentation during week 12 or 13

# Unit 12 and 13: Student presentation weeks

#### Unit Introduction:

In these two units (the last two weeks of the course), students will present on their final critical appraisal assignment. The student presentation will mimic a conference presentation. Students will be responsible for providing summaries of the case studies for the presentation and the readings to their peers.

#### Required Readings:

There are no required readings.

#### Additional Recommended Readings and Resources:

There are no recommended readings and resources.

- Critical appraisal assignment 3 (Final) due at the end of this week (worth 25% of overall grade)
- Sign up for presentation time for either week 12 or 13 (worth 25% of overall grade)